

REMARKS

Responsive to the aforementioned Office Letter, the applicant notes the objections to the claims and the rejections under 35 USC 112. With respect to the objection advanced to Claim 31, 5 this claim has been deleted. With respect to the objection towards Claim 32, the applicant has made the suggestion as proposed by the Examiner. The Examiner's action in making the suggestion is appreciated.

With respect to the claims rejected under 35 USC 112, applicant intended for the language "in advance of" to be "beyond" and not "at the rear end" of the pathway. Nevertheless, applicant has amended the claims to recite that the destination is beyond the end-of-the-line element. It is believed this should eliminate all confusion raised with respect to the paragraph.

15 The applicant respectfully requests entry of this Amendment, since it does not change the claims except to obviate alleged informalities and thereby places the claims in better condition for appeal.

20 Dated: April 19, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

25 
ROBERT J. SCHAAP
Registration No. 20,577
Attorney for Applicant
(818) 346-6555